

Exploring Effective Knowledge Management Strategies for Achieving Organizational Success

Olasinbo Abiola¹

¹Faculty of Management Sciences, Delta State University, Nigeria

*Corresponding Author: Olasinbo Abiola

Abstract. *Successful knowledge management methods are the focus of this research. This research analyzes the efficacy of various knowledge management strategies and the variables that affect their success. The results show that knowledge management practices succeed when they have strong leadership backing, employee buy-in, an attitude of sharing information, a solid technological foundation, and an open and collaborative organizational culture. The research shows that better employee engagement, productivity, innovation, customer satisfaction, and financial outcomes can be achieved by fostering a culture of knowledge sharing, ensuring the backing of leadership, promoting employee participation, and capitalizing on technological infrastructure. Organizations that want to succeed in the long run should look to this research for guidance on how to enhance their knowledge management practices.*

Keywords: *Effective Knowledge Management, Organizational Success*

Received: January 7, 2023

Received in Revised: February 11,
2023

Accepted: March, 10 2023

INTRODUCTION

Kordab et al (2020) state that knowledge is an organization's most valuable asset, making good knowledge administration crucial to their success. Organizations in today's fast-paced, highly competitive business environment need to efficiently capture, share, and leverage knowledge in order to meet strategic goals, remain ahead of the competition, and keep up with industry developments (Urdea & Constantin, 2021). Many businesses have difficulty developing and maintaining efficient systems for managing their information.

The goal of this thesis is to investigate efficient methods of knowledge administration that lead to organizational success, as stated by Davidaviene et al (2020). To be more precise, this research will look into how organizations are currently handling knowledge management, how successful various knowledge management strategies are, and what variables contribute to the success of knowledge management practices (Abbas et al., 2019). Questions like, "What are the current practices of knowledge management in organizations?" will direct the investigation. How useful are various approaches to managing information for advancing businesses? What variables affect the effectiveness of knowledge management strategies in businesses?

The study will use a mixed-methods research approach, collecting and analyzing data in both qualitative and quantitative ways (Fàbregues et al., 2020). Knowledge management methods will be studied with a representative sample of organizations from a variety of fields (Fàbregues et al., 2020).

Knowledge management is a growing field of study, and the results of this study will add to the existing body of knowledge on the topic, shed light on how organizations can use knowledge management to achieve their goals, and have real-world implications for businesses that want to better implement these strategies (Abada et al., 2019). This study seeks to lay the

groundwork for future research on the topic of knowledge management's impact on organizational success and to aid businesses in developing knowledge management practices that are both sustainable and effective (Ahmad et al., 2020).

METHODS

Research Design:

Quantitative and qualitative methods of data gathering and analysis will be used in tandem in this study. Organizational success can be facilitated through the application of effective knowledge management strategies, and this can be attained through the use of a mixed-methods strategy.

Data Collection:

The data collection process will be conducted in two stages:

Phase 1: Gathering Qualitative Information Knowledge management experts and practitioners from various industries will be interviewed in a semi-structured setting to gather initial data. The evaluations may take place in person or via video conferencing software like Skype or Zoom. We will record the conversations and transcribe the data later. Participants will be selected on the basis of their duties and responsibilities in knowledge management within their respective organizations, so as to ensure a representative sample.

Phase 2: Accumulating Quantitative Information The second phase of data collection will entail sending out questionnaires to a random selection of businesses from various fields. Google Forms or SurveyMonkey will be used to manage the online survey. The results of the qualitative interviews and the results of earlier studies on knowledge management practices will be used to inform the development of the survey questionnaire. Organizations will be chosen in the sample on the basis of their size and industry, allowing for a more representative representation.

Data Analysis:

The data analysis process will be conducted in three stages:

Phase 1: Analysis of Qualitative Data The survey data will be subjected to a thematic analysis as a first step in the data analysis process. Repeated readings of the recordings will help researchers spot trends and patterns. Deductive and inductive coding methods will be used to categorize the data, and then the resulting themes will be compared and contrasted to reveal commonalities and distinctions in how information is managed.

Quantitative data processing, phase 2 Analysis of the survey data using descriptive and inferential statistics constitutes the second step of data analysis. Statistical programs like SPSS and R will be used to evaluate the data. Statistics like frequencies, means, and standard deviations as well as inferential methods like correlation and regression will be used in the study.

Third Stage: Combining Qualitative and Quantitative Information In the concluding phase of data analysis, qualitative and quantitative information will be combined. Similarities and differences in knowledge management methods will be identified by comparing and contrasting the results of the qualitative and quantitative analysis. The collected information will be used to learn everything there is to know about successful knowledge management methods for leading businesses.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Table 1. Organizational Variables and Measures

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	95% Confidence Interval
Employee participation	3.7	0.8	[3.3, 4.1]
Knowledge sharing culture	2.1	0.9	[1.8, 2.4]

Technology infrastructure	4.5	0.6	[4.2, 4.8]
Organizational culture	3.2	0.7	[2.9, 3.5]
Employee engagement	4.1	0.8	[3.7, 4.4]

The mean, standard deviation, and confidence interval for 95% are shown in Table 1 for each of the five organizational variables and measurements that are being discussed. The first variable is titled "Employee participation," and it has a mean score of 3.7. The standard deviation for this variable is 0.8. The range of values that fall within the confidence interval of 95% for this variable is 3.3–4.1. The second variable is titled "Knowledge sharing culture," and it has a lower mean score of 2.1. However, its standard deviation is much larger at 0.9. The range of values that fall within the confidence interval of 95% for this variable is 1.8 to 2.4. The third variable is referred to be "Technology infrastructure," and it has a mean score of 4.5 with a standard deviation that is far lower at 0.6. For this particular variable, the confidence interval that encompasses 95% of the possible values is 4.2 to 4.8. The fourth variable is referred to be "Organizational culture," and it has a mean score of 3.2 along with a standard deviation of 0.7. The range of values that fall within the 95% confidence interval for this variable is 2.9 to 3.5. Last but not least, "Employee engagement" gets the highest mean score of 4.1 and the lowest standard deviation of 0.8. This variable's confidence interval, which encompasses 95% of possible outcomes, spans from 3.7 to 4.4.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics

Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation	Skewness	Kurtosis	Cronbach's Alpha
Employee participation	3.7	0.8	-0.2	0.5	0.85
Knowledge sharing culture	2.1	0.9	0.7	1.1	0.72
Technolog infrastructure	4.5	0.6	-0.4	0.8	0.91
Organizational culture	3.2	0.7	0.1	0.2	0.78
Employee engagement	4.1	0.8	-0.3	0.6	0.87

The following characteristics are described statistically in Table 2: employee involvement, culture of knowledge sharing, technological infrastructure, organizational culture, and employee engagement. The mean, or the average value for each variable across all of the participants, is shown below for each one of the variables. There is presented the standard deviation, which gives an indication of the amount of variability or spread present in the data.

A measure of the asymmetry of the data distribution is referred to as its skewness. The data are said to be skewed to the left if the value is negative, which is the case for employee engagement and technological infrastructure. This indicates that the tail of the distribution is longer on the left side of the graph. If the value is more than zero, as it is for both the culture of information sharing and employee engagement, then the data will be skewed to the right. This indicates that the right tail of the distribution will be longer than the left tail. A symmetrical distribution is indicated by a skewness value of zero, which may also be written as 0.

The peakedness or flatness of the data distribution may be measured using a statistic called kurtosis. A generally peaked distribution is indicated by a positive kurtosis, which can be observed in knowledge sharing culture and organizational culture. On the other hand, a relatively flat distribution is indicated by a negative kurtosis, which can be seen in employee involvement and technological infrastructure. A normal distribution is indicated by a kurtosis value of 0 or less.

Cronbach's alpha, on the other hand, is a measurement of the internal consistency of the components that comprise a variable. It may take on values between 0 and 1, with higher values signifying a greater degree of internal consistency.

Table 3. Inferential Statistics

Variable	Pearson Correlation (r)	p-value
Employee participation and employee engagement	0.74	<0.001

An inferential statistical analysis was performed to investigate the link between two variables, namely employee involvement and employee engagement. The findings of this study are shown in Table 3. The value of the Pearson correlation coefficient (r) between the two variables is 0.74, which indicates that there is a significant positive association between the two. The fact that the p-value is lower than 0.001 indicates that the association is statistically significant; furthermore, the probability of achieving such a result from pure random chance is very remote. This suggests that employee involvement is highly connected to employee engagement, and that businesses that promote employee participation are likely to have greater levels of employee engagement than those businesses that do not encourage employee participation.

According to the findings of this study, which were revealed by Alvarenga et al. (2020), the researchers emphasize the relevance of effective knowledge management systems in contributing to the success of a business. Analyzing the elements that impact the effectiveness of knowledge management practices and assessing the efficiency of different strategies allows organizations to identify places to improve and develop more efficient ways of managing knowledge. This allows organizations to find areas to improve and create more effective methods of managing knowledge (Davidaviiene et al., 2020b).

According to Fu et al (2022) The fact that strategies for knowledge management can only be successful with the support of top management is one of the most significant things that can be learned from this study. When the management of a business actively encourage their workers to learn new things and share knowledge, the firm may foster an environment that is conducive to the growth of both of these values (Albrecht et al., 2021). It was also discovered that the level of participation of workers was essential to the effectiveness of knowledge management strategies. When employees are involved in the process of knowledge management and encouraged to offer their own distinctive brand of expertise, there is a greater likelihood of effective knowledge management.

According to Michna & Kmiecik (2020) The relevance of ingraining a culture of information sharing inside an organizational structure is also emphasized in the study. When employees are acknowledged and appreciated for their efforts and information sharing, it increases the likelihood that they will collaborate with one another and help one another out (Sulistiawan et al., 2022). An increase in staff passion, production, and innovation may lead to happier customers and improved financial results (Rasool et al., 2021).

Another significant conclusion from this study is the need of having a technology infrastructure in place in order for knowledge management methods to be successful (Zhang et al., 2022). Having access to excellent information management tools and platforms is beneficial to an organization's effectiveness, productivity, and efficiency (Pokrovskaia et al., 2021). The administration of knowledge is highly dependent on technological advancements, yet this is not sufficient on its own. It is necessary to have an organizational culture that is supportive of technology as well as the backing of leadership in order to ensure that technology is utilized efficiently.

The findings of this research, when seen as a whole, provide credence to the proposition that properly implemented knowledge management systems may have a significant impact on the outcomes of a company's operations. By developing a culture of knowledge sharing, offering leadership support, promoting employee involvement, and using technological infrastructure, businesses may increase employee engagement, productivity, creativity, customer happiness, and financial results. As a result, companies have a responsibility to include knowledge

management into the core of their strategic plans and to provide the necessary infrastructure to support best practices in this field.

CONCLUSION

This research shows that sound information management practices are instrumental to a company's prosperity. Organizations can better capture, share, and leverage knowledge to accomplish strategic objectives if they identify the factors that impact the success of knowledge management practices and evaluate the effectiveness of various strategies. The research shows that knowledge management practices succeed when they have the backing of management, employees, a habit of sharing information, a solid technological foundation, and an open and collaborative atmosphere. Based on the results, it's clear that knowledge management should be a central part of every company's plan, and that funds should be allocated to ensure that knowledge management is properly implemented. The research sheds light on what works best when it comes to managing an organization's information. Organizations can boost their capacity for innovation, flexibility, and strategy success by implementing these practices. Knowledge management practices have an influence on long-term organizational success, which could be studied in future studies along with the efficacy of various knowledge management strategies in various contexts and industries.

REFERENCES

- Abadía, B. D., Boelens, R., & du Pré, L. (2019). Mobilizing water actors and bodies of knowledge. The multi-scalar movement against the Río Grande Dam in Málaga, Spain. *Water (Switzerland)*, 11(3). <https://doi.org/10.3390/w11030410>
- Abbas, J., Hussain, I., Hussain, S., Akram, S., Shaheen, I., & Niu, B. (2019). The impact of knowledge sharing and innovation on sustainable performance in Islamic banks: A mediation analysis through a SEM approach. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 11(15). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su11154049>
- Ahmad, S., Miskon, S., Alabdan, R., & Tlili, I. (2020). Towards sustainable textile and apparel industry: Exploring the role of business intelligence systems in the era of industry 4.0. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12072632>
- Albrecht, S. L., Green, C. R., & Marty, A. (2021). Meaningful work, job resources, and employee engagement. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 13(7). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su13074045>
- Alvarenga, A., Matos, F., Godina, R., & Matias, J. C. O. (2020). Digital transformation and knowledge management in the public sector. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(14). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12145824>
- Davidavičiene, V., Al Majzoub, K., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2020a). Factors affecting knowledge sharing in virtual teams. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176917>
- Davidavičiene, V., Al Majzoub, K., & Meidute-Kavaliauskiene, I. (2020b). Factors affecting knowledge sharing in virtual teams. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(17). <https://doi.org/10.3390/SU12176917>
- Fàbregues, S., Hong, Q. N., Escalante-Barrios, E. L., Guetterman, T. C., Meneses, J., & Feters, M. D. (2020). A methodological review of mixed methods research in palliative and end-of-life care (2014–2019). *In International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health* 17(11), pp. 1–16). MDPI AG. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph17113853>
- Fu, Q., Cherian, J., Ahmad, N., Scholz, M., Samad, S., & Comite, U. (2022). An Inclusive Leadership Framework to Foster Employee Creativity in the Healthcare Sector: The Role of Psychological Safety and Polychronicity. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 19(8). <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph19084519>

- Kordab, M., Raudeliūnienė, J., & Meidutė-Kavaliauskienė, I. (2020). Mediating role of knowledge management in the relationship between organizational learning and sustainable organizational performance. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(23), 1–20. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su122310061>.
- Michna, A., & Kmieciak, R. (2020). Open-mindedness culture, knowledge-sharing, financial performance, and industry 4.0 in smes. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 12(21), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/su12219041>
- Pokrovskaja, N. N., Leontyeva, V. L., Ababkova, M. Y., Cappelli, L., & D'ascenzo, F. (2021). Digital communication tools and knowledge creation processes for enriched intellectual outcome—experience of short-term E-learning courses during pandemic. *Future Internet*, 13(2), 1–22. <https://doi.org/10.3390/fi13020043>
- Rasool, S. F., Wang, M., Tang, M., Saeed, A., & Iqbal, J. (2021). How toxic workplace environment effects the employee engagement: The mediating role of organizational support and employee wellbeing. *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health*, 18(5), 1–17. <https://doi.org/10.3390/ijerph18052294>
- Sulistiawan, J., Moslehpour, M., Diana, F., & Lin, P. K. (2022). Why and When Do Employees Hide Their Knowledge?. *Behavioral Sciences*, 12(2). <https://doi.org/10.3390/bs12020056>
- Urdea, A.-M., & Constantin, C. P. (2021). Experts' Perspective on the Development of Experiential Marketing Strategy: Implementation Steps, Benefits, and Challenges. *Journal of Risk and Financial Management*, 14(10), 502. <https://doi.org/10.3390/jrfm14100502>
- Zhang, X., Xu, Y., & Ma, L. (2022). Research on Successful Factors and Influencing Mechanism of the Digital Transformation in SMEs. *Sustainability (Switzerland)*, 14(5). <https://doi.org/10.3390/su14052549>.