The Politics of Conflict Resolution: Negotiating Public Policy Amidst Community Dissonance

Zulfan Nahruddin[1]

[1]Department of Public Administration, Faculty of Politics and Social Sciences, STISIP Bina Generasi Polewali, Indonesia

Abstract. *This study investigates the politics of conflict resolution within the context of public policy negotiation, particularly in environments marked by community dissonance. Using a qualitative research methodology, the study explores the strategies and approaches employed to mediate conflicts and disputes that arise during policy development and implementation. The findings reveal that successful negotiation of public policy in discordant communities hinges on several key factors: active stakeholder participation, inclusive decision-making processes, addressing power imbalances, effective communication, and the allocation of sufficient resources. These insights underscore the critical importance of considering diverse perspectives and interests in policy formulation and enactment. The research contributes to the existing body of literature on public policy negotiation in contentious settings and offers practical strategies for achieving equitable and effective policy outcomes.*

Keywords: *Conflict Resolution, Public Policy, Community Dissonance*

INTRODUCTION

Public policy formulation and implementation are intricate and multifaceted processes, especially within the context of modern societies that are characterized by increasing diversity and complexity. The process begins with identifying societal needs, followed by the development of policy solutions to address those needs, and finally, the implementation of these policies through various mechanisms. However, this seemingly linear process is often disrupted by the conflicting interests and values of different stakeholder groups. As Boin & Lodge (2016) argue, the challenges of public policy-making are magnified in environments marked by high levels of social, cultural, and political diversity, where the interests of various groups may not only differ but also directly conflict.

In such contexts, conflict resolution becomes an essential aspect of the policy-making process. Effective conflict resolution strategies are necessary to mediate disputes and facilitate cooperation among stakeholders with divergent views. These strategies are particularly crucial in ensuring that public policies are not only inclusive but also capable of addressing the specific needs of all community members. However, the existing body of literature on conflict resolution within the realm of public policy often falls short of providing actionable insights that are tailored to the unique challenges presented by highly diverse and discordant communities. This gap is particularly evident in studies focusing on the negotiation of public policies in communities where there is significant social tension or dissonance.

The importance of conflict resolution in public policy cannot be overstated, especially in light of the global trend toward increased social diversity (Stefanova, 2006). Communities worldwide are becoming more heterogeneous, with growing ethnic, racial, religious, linguistic, and cultural differences (Anderson & Paskeviciute, 2006). This diversity, while enriching in many

respects, also poses significant challenges for policymakers. They must navigate these complexities to create policies that are not only effective but also equitable and sustainable. As noted by Sumarni & Kalupae (2020), the failure to adequately address the interests of all groups within such communities can lead to persistent conflicts, undermining the very goals that public policies are intended to achieve.

This study seeks to address the existing gap in the literature by examining the politics of conflict resolution in the negotiation of public policy amidst community dissonance. Specifically, it aims to explore how policymakers can effectively manage conflicts that arise during the policy-making process in diverse and often contentious environments. The study investigate the strategies and approaches that have been successfully employed in various cases of public policy negotiation, with a particular focus on identifying the factors that contribute to successful outcomes. Additionally, it explore the challenges that policymakers face when attempting to implement these strategies in real-world scenarios.

The research conducted through a series of case studies and interviews with key stakeholders involved in public policy development in communities characterized by high levels of dissonance. These research provide a detailed examination of the specific conflicts that arise during policy negotiation, the methods used to resolve these conflicts, and the outcomes of these efforts. By analyzing these cases, the study aims to identify patterns and develop a framework that can be used to guide future policy-making efforts in similar contexts.

The significance of this research lies in its potential to provide practical insights that can be applied by policymakers and practitioners working in diverse and contentious communities. As communities continue to evolve and diversify, the need for effective conflict resolution strategies in public policy-making becomes increasingly urgent. This study contribute to the broader understanding of how to negotiate public policies that are both inclusive and effective, ensuring that the needs of all community members are met and that social harmony is maintained.

The findings of this research have broader implications for the field of public administration and governance. They provide a deeper understanding of the dynamics of policy negotiation in diverse settings and offer guidance on how to navigate the complexities of such environments. This is particularly important in the current global context, where social divisions are becoming more pronounced, and the ability of governments to manage these divisions through effective public policy is being increasingly tested.

This research aims to fill a critical gap in the literature on public policy and conflict resolution by providing a detailed examination of the strategies and challenges involved in negotiating public policy in the context of community dissonance. By doing so, it offer valuable insights that can inform both theory and practice, ultimately contributing to the development of more effective and equitable public policies.

METHODS

Research Design

This study employed a qualitative research design, integrating both qualitative and quantitative strategies for data collection and analysis. The qualitative approach was particularly suitable for exploring the complex dynamics of conflict resolution in public policy negotiation, as it allowed for an in-depth understanding of the social and political contexts influencing these processes. The research was conducted as a multiple-case study, focusing on selected communities where public policy formulation and implementation were marked by significant conflict and discord. The case study method enabled the exploration of real-life situations in a comprehensive manner, capturing the nuances of the conflicts and the strategies employed to resolve them.

Case Selection

The selection of cases was done purposefully to ensure a representative sample of municipalities characterized by notable disagreements and struggles during public policy formulation and execution. The selection criteria included the diversity of the community in terms of ethnic, cultural, and socioeconomic factors, the severity and nature of the conflict, and the degree of polarization among different community factions. This approach ensured that the study captured a wide range of conflict scenarios, providing rich data for analysis and enhancing the generalizability of the findings. The selected cases represented different regions, each with unique challenges in policy negotiation and conflict resolution, which allowed for comparative analysis across diverse settings.

Data Collection

Data collection was conducted through a combination of qualitative methods to ensure a comprehensive understanding of the conflict resolution processes in the selected cases. In-depth interviews were carried out with key stakeholders, including policymakers, community leaders, representatives of interest groups, and other relevant parties involved in the policy-making process. The semi-structured format of these interviews allowed for flexibility, enabling the interviewer to delve deeper into specific issues as they emerged while ensuring that all critical topics were addressed. Focus Group Discussions (FGDs) were organized with various community groups to explore their perceptions, experiences, and roles in the policy-making process. These discussions provided valuable insights into the collective views and dynamics within different factions of the community, shedding light on the underlying causes of conflict and the strategies used to resolve them. Additionally, a thorough review of relevant policy documents, legal texts, meeting minutes, and other official records was conducted through document analysis. This analysis offered contextual background for the case studies and helped triangulate the data gathered from interviews and focus groups. Where feasible, field observations were carried out during key community meetings and policy negotiation sessions, allowing for a direct understanding of stakeholder interactions and the real-time dynamics of conflict resolution.

Data Analysis

The data obtained through interviews, focus groups, document analysis, and field observations were subjected to a rigorous thematic analysis. The analysis process began with familiarization with the data, where the researcher thoroughly reviewed all transcriptions and notes, repeatedly reading through the content to gain a deep understanding. Following this, the data was systematically coded using NVivo software, which facilitated the identification of key themes, patterns, and categories related to conflict resolution strategies, stakeholder roles, and the outcomes of policy negotiations. Codes were then grouped into broader themes that reflected recurring issues and strategies across the different cases. These themes were analyzed in relation to the research questions, exploring how various factors contributed to the successful or unsuccessful resolution of conflicts. The identified themes were interpreted within the broader theoretical framework of conflict resolution and public policy negotiation, connecting empirical findings with existing theories and literature to provide a deeper understanding of the observed processes. To ensure the reliability and validity of the findings, the researcher engaged in member checking by sharing preliminary results with some participants for feedback and conducted peer debriefing sessions with other researchers to challenge and refine the interpretations.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

Participants in the research comprised of important stakeholders who were actively involved in the formulation and administration of public policy in a selection of communities that were notable for their disharmony and conflict. The research included a total of fifty participants, each of whom represented a unique interest group or policymaking body, as well as prominent members of their respective communities.

According to Althaus et al (2022) The information that was gathered from the people who took part in the research indicated that the absence of community involvement was a significant element that contributed to community disharmony in the process of developing and implementing public policy. Contributors pointed out that policymakers frequently fail to incorporate the community in the process of developing policies, which results in a dearth of buy-in and confidence in the policies. In addition, power inequalities among stakeholders, competing interests and objectives, a lack of resources and financing, and competition for those resources were recognized as factors that contributed to community disharmony.

According to Katz-Lavigne (2020) The research uncovered a variety of disagreements regarding public policy, such as ideological differences, distributional conflicts, administrative disagreements, and communication disruptions. Participants pointed out that differences in political and moral convictions frequently led to disagreements in the process of policy formulation and administration, which led to the identification of ideological differences as a major source of conflict. Distributional conflicts, which involve disagreements over the distribution of resources, were also recognized as significant conflicts, particularly in communities that lacked a substantial amount of a particular resource.

This section presents the findings derived from an in-depth analysis of the qualitative data collected through interviews, focus groups, and document reviews. The analysis revealed five core themes integral to understanding how conflicts were negotiated during the formulation and implementation of public policy in communities characterized by discord: stakeholder participation, inclusive decision-making, power dynamics, communication strategies, and resource allocation. Each theme is supported by direct quotations from participants, providing a grounded and nuanced understanding of the issues.

Stakeholder Participation

The findings underscore the critical importance of active stakeholder participation in resolving policy-related conflicts. In all case studies, the inclusion of diverse community voice ranging from policymakers to marginalized groups was repeatedly identified as a cornerstone of successful policy negotiation. For example, in the case of Municipality A, a local NGO leader emphasized the importance of early involvement:

"Our participation wasn't just symbolic; it allowed us to bring environmental concerns to the forefront, which otherwise would have been overlooked".

Similarly, a policymaker highlighted the effectiveness of participatory approaches:

"We found that when stakeholders feel genuinely involved, they are more committed to the policy outcomes. It's about ownership".

These insights were echoed across the data, illustrating that genuine stakeholder engagement fosters a sense of ownership and responsibility, which is crucial for mitigating conflicts.

Inclusive Decision-Making

Inclusive decision-making emerged as another pivotal strategy in conflict resolution. The study revealed that municipalities adopting transparent and participatory processes, such as open forums and inclusive committees, were more effective in managing conflicts. In Municipality B, for instance, the town hall meetings became a platform for diverse voices, facilitating a more democratic decision-making process. As one participant noted "Everyone had a chance to speak, and that made a huge difference. We felt heard, and that reduced a lot of the tension" (FGD 2). Another participant added, "Inclusivity isn't just about being present; it's about having your concerns genuinely addressed" (FGD 5). This approach was found to be crucial in fostering trust and cooperation among conflicting parties, ensuring that all perspectives were considered in the final decisions.

Power Dynamics

The issue of power imbalances was a recurring theme, often exacerbating conflicts during policy negotiations. The findings indicate that conflicts were particularly intense in scenarios where there was a perceived or actual imbalance of power among stakeholders. In Municipality C, the dominance of a particular political faction led to significant resistance from minority groups, prolonging the policy negotiation process. A community leader from a marginalized group reflected on this, saying,

"We were constantly sidelined because the powerful groups had the final say. It wasn't a fair process".

This was corroborated by document analysis, which revealed that addressing these imbalances through equal representation and power sharing mechanisms was essential for conflict mitigation.

Communication Strategies

Effective communication emerged as a cornerstone of successful conflict resolution. The study found that clear, consistent, and culturally sensitive communication strategies were crucial in bridging divides and preventing misunderstandings. In Municipality D, multilingual communication efforts ensured that all community members, regardless of their linguistic background, were adequately informed and engaged. A policymaker emphasized the importance of this approach:

"Miscommunication is often the root of conflict. By ensuring that everyone understood the policy in their own language, we were able to prevent a lot of potential issues"

This was further supported by field observations, which noted that well-planned communication efforts significantly reduced misunderstandings and fostered a more collaborative atmosphere.

Resource Allocation

The allocation of resources was identified as a critical factor in successful conflict resolution. Municipalities that invested in resources to support the negotiation process such as hiring skilled mediators and conducting comprehensive community consultations were more likely to achieve positive outcomes. In Municipality E, the provision of resources for community engagement was directly linked to the successful resolution of a contentious land-use policy. A policymaker remarked,

"Having the right resources meant we could engage everyone effectively, which was crucial for resolving the conflict".

The data suggests that adequate resource allocation not only facilitated the negotiation process but also ensured that all stakeholders had the necessary tools to participate meaningfully.

Identification of Conflicting Needs and Interests

The identification of competing needs and interests was an essential part of the research that was conducted for the purpose of better comprehending the various points of view and motivations of the stakeholders who were involved in the process of formulating and carrying out public policy.

Table 1. Conflicting Needs and Interests

Need/Interest	Stakeholders Involved	Description
Economic Development	Policymakers, Business Leaders	Policymakers prioritized economic development, while business leaders sought to protect their interests.

		Environmental groups sought to protect the environment, while policymakers sought to balance environmental concerns with economic development.
Environmental Protection	Environmental Groups, Policymakers	Environmental groups sought to protect the environment, while policymakers sought to balance environmental concerns with economic development.
Community Representation	Community Leaders, Interest Groups	Community leaders sought greater representation in public policy development and implementation, while interest groups sought to ensure their specific needs were met.
Social Equity	Social Justice Groups, Policymakers	Social justice groups sought to address issues of social equity, while policymakers sought to balance these concerns with economic development.

According to the information gathered from the people who took part in the research, a significant element that contributes to community disharmony in the process of developing and implementing public policy is the presence of competing wants and interests. Participants noted that policymakers frequently put a higher priority on economic development at the expense of environmental protection, which was identified as a key need and interest (Lihua et al., 2020). Participants also identified environmental protection and economic development as key needs and interests. Participants noted that issues related to community representation and social justice were frequently ignored during the process of formulating and enacting public policy, which was one of the reasons why these needs and interests were deemed to be substantial needs and interests.

Strategies and Approaches for Conflict Resolution

The methods and techniques for resolving conflicts were an essential part of the research project, which had as its primary objective the identification of efficient strategies for negotiating public policy in the presence of community discord.

Table 6. Strategies and Approaches for Conflict Resolution

Strategy/Approach	Description
Community Engagement	Engaging community members in public policy development and implementation to build trust and increase buy-in.
Power-Sharing	Ensuring that all stakeholders have equal representation and decision-making power in public policy development and implementation.
Mediation and Facilitation	Using third-party mediators or facilitators to help parties negotiate and reach a resolution.
Inclusive Decision-Making	Encouraging collaborative decision-making that involves all stakeholders and considers diverse perspectives.
Conflict Transformation	Identifying and addressing the underlying causes of conflict to transform the relationship between the parties.

According to the information gathered from the people who took part in the research, community involvement was deemed to be the most successful approach for addressing conflicts and disputes that arise during the process of developing and implementing public policy. Contributors remarked that engaging the community helped develop confidence and increased buy-in, which ultimately led to more beneficial policy outcomes (Allen et al., 2021). Power-sharing, conciliation, and facilitation were also recognized as successful techniques, particularly in situations in which there was a disparity of power between the participants or a disruption in communication between them.

It was determined that inclusive decision-making and conflict transformation were more difficult strategies, but they were still considered to be important for addressing conflicts and disputes in the process of developing public policy and putting it into effect. Participants made

the observation that inclusive decision-making required a significant amount of effort and resources to ensure that all stakeholders had a voice, whereas conflict transformation required identifying and addressing the underlying causes of conflict, which could be difficult to identify and address (Salvatori et al., 2021). Participants also noted that conflict transformation required inclusive decision-making.

Success Factors and Challenges in Negotiating Public Policy in Dissonant Communities

The factors that contribute to successful negotiation of public policy in discordant communities were the focus of a significant portion of the study. The overarching goal of the research was to determine the factors that contribute to successful public policy negotiation in dissonant communities.

Table 7. Success Factors and Challenges in Negotiating Public Policy in Dissonant Communities

Success Factors	Challenges
Trust and Respect	Lack of trust and respect between stakeholders can make it difficult to negotiate and reach a resolution.
Communication	Effective communication is essential for negotiating public policy in dissonant communities, but communication breakdowns can lead to misunderstandings and conflicts.
Flexibility	Being flexible and open to different perspectives and approaches can help parties reach a resolution, but inflexibility can lead to stalemates and conflicts.
Shared Goals and Interests	Identifying shared goals and interests can help parties find common ground and work towards a shared solution.
Resources	Adequate resources, including time, funding, and personnel, are necessary for successful negotiation of public policy in dissonant communities.

The research underscores the pivotal role of confidence and respect among stakeholders in successfully negotiating public policy in communities characterized by dissonance. Participants highlighted these elements as fundamental for fostering strong relationships and identifying shared objectives. This finding aligns with Walker et al. (2017), who argue that effective communication is crucial for understanding diverse perspectives and working towards mutually acceptable solutions (Smulders et al., 2008). The study builds on this by illustrating how confidence and respect are not merely facilitators but central to overcoming deep-seated conflicts and establishing productive negotiation dynamics.

Flexibility and alignment of goals emerged as significant factors contributing to successful negotiations (Sheer, 2017). The ability of stakeholders to adapt their positions and align their objectives with those of other parties facilitated innovative solutions and cooperative efforts (Harrison et al., 2010; Bundy et al., 2000) assertion that flexibility is essential for conflict resolution. However, the study also reveals the limitations of flexibility in cases where fundamental disagreements exist, suggesting that flexibility is most effective when paired with a robust foundation of mutual respect and confidence.

A critical barrier identified was the lack of resources, including time, financial support, and personnel (Cannoodt et al., 2012; Waqas et al., 2018). This shortage significantly impeded the negotiation process and exacerbated existing conflicts. This finding reinforces the importance of adequate resource allocation, as highlighted by Agyemang et al. (2019). The study indicates that without sufficient resources, the capacity of stakeholders to engage meaningfully and resolve conflicts is severely constrained.

The research emphasizes the necessity of community involvement in the policy-making process. Active participation from community members enhances confidence, fosters buy-in, and contributes to more effective policy outcomes. This finding supports the notion that inclusive decision-making is crucial for achieving successful policy implementation (Weible, 2018). Future

research should explore strategies to increase community engagement, identifying ways to overcome barriers to participation and ensure that diverse voices are included in the decision-making process.

The study also highlights the importance of inclusive decision-making processes that consider a range of stakeholder perspectives. Effective decision-making requires mechanisms that address the various viewpoints and objectives of stakeholders. This aligns with theoretical frameworks on conflict resolution, which advocate for inclusive approaches to managing and resolving disputes (Alsawy et al., 2017). Future research should focus on developing and evaluating comprehensive decision-making methods that incorporate diverse perspectives and facilitate collaborative problem-solving.

Addressing power inequalities was identified as a crucial element for successful policy negotiation (Brachet-Márquez, 2010). The research underscores the need for power-sharing and equitable representation to ensure fair and effective policy outcomes. This finding is consistent with Guardino's (2019) emphasis on confronting power imbalances to achieve equitable policy outcomes. Future studies should investigate strategies for addressing power disparities, focusing on mechanisms that promote fair representation and challenge entrenched power structures.

Effective communication was identified as a fundamental success factor. The study supports the need for successful communication strategies that mitigate disruptions and facilitate mutual understanding among stakeholders (Alsawy et al., 2017; DuHadway et al., 2019). Further research should explore effective communication techniques that address common barriers and enhance the clarity and efficacy of stakeholder interactions.

The research also highlights the necessity of sufficient resources for successful policy negotiation and implementation. Adequate resources such as time, funding, and personnel are essential for overcoming challenges and achieving effective policy outcomes (Agyemang et al., 2019; Chen et al., 2004) Future research should examine methods for optimizing resource allocation and balancing competing demands to support successful policy negotiations.

This research contributes valuable insights into the factors influencing public policy negotiation in the context of community dissonance. It confirms and extends existing literature by emphasizing the importance of confidence, respect, flexibility, and adequate resources. The study provides practical implications for future research and policy development, highlighting the need for enhanced community involvement, inclusive decision-making, and strategies to address power inequalities. These findings offer a comprehensive understanding of the dynamics involved in policy negotiation and underscore the importance of addressing these factors to improve conflict resolution and policy outcomes.

CONCLUSION

Negotiating public policy amidst community disagreement is a complex process that necessitates active participation, equitable decision-making, addressing power imbalances, effective communication, and adequate resource allocation. The research offers valuable insights into the strategies and approaches required to manage conflicts and disputes during policy development and implementation. It is crucial to involve community members in formulating and enacting policies to ensure alignment with the community's objectives and values. Inclusive decision-making is essential not only for resolving conflicts arising from competing needs and interests but also for achieving appropriate representation and facilitating power sharing. Addressing power imbalances is vital for tackling underlying power dynamics and ensuring fair representation. Efficient communication plays a key role in helping parties understand each other's perspectives and reach agreements. The study underscores the importance of allocating sufficient resources such as time, money, and personnel to achieve effective policy outcomes. The research findings have significant implications for policy formulation and implementation, highlighting the need to consider diverse stakeholder perspectives and interests. This study underscores the importance of further research into effective strategies for collaboration,

addressing power inequalities, and fostering inclusive decision-making in the context of negotiating public policy in communities with significant dissonance.

REFERENCES

Adnan, N. M., & Jambari, D. I. (2016). Mutual understanding determinants for effective communication in business and IT strategic alignment planning. *International Journal on Advanced Science, Engineering and Information Technology*, *6*(6), 914-921.

Agyemang, M., Kusi-Sarpong, S., Khan, S. A., Mani, V., Rehman, S. T., & Kusi-Sarpong, H. (2019). Drivers and barriers to circular economy implementation: An explorative study in Pakistan's automobile industry. *Management Decision*. https://doi.org/10.1108/MD-11-2018-1178

Allen, E. H., Haley, J. M., Aarons, J., & Lawrence, D. (2021). Leveraging community expertise to advance health equity. *Washington, DC: Urban Institute*.

Alsawy, S., Mansell, W., McEvoy, P., & Tai, S. (2017). What is good communication for people living with dementia? A mixed-methods systematic review. *International Psychogeriatrics*, *29*(11), 1785-1800. https://doi.org/10.1017/S1041610217001429

Althaus, C., Ball, S., Bridgman, P., Davis, G., & Threlfall, D. (2022). *The Australian policy handbook: A practical guide to the policymaking process*. Taylor & Francis. https://doi.org/10.4324/9781003351993

Anderson, C. J., & Paskeviciute, A. (2006). How ethnic and linguistic heterogeneity influence the prospects for civil society: A comparative study of citizenship behavior. *The Journal of Politics*, *68*(4), 783-802. https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1468-2508.2006.00470.x

Bigsten, A., Collier, P., Dercon, S., Fafchamps, M., Gauthier, B., Gunning, J. W., ... & Zeufack, A. (2000). Contract flexibility and dispute resolution in African manufacturing. *The Journal of Development Studies*, *36*(4), 1-37. https://doi.org/10.1080/00220380008422635

Boin, A., & Lodge, M. (2016). Designing resilient institutions for transboundary crisis management: A time for public administration. *Public administration*, *94*(2), 289-298. https://doi.org/10.1111/padm.12264

Brachet-Márquez, V. (2010). Domination, contention, and the negotiation of inequality: A theoretical proposal. In *Theorizing the Dynamics of Social Processes* (Vol. 27, pp. 123-161). Emerald Group Publishing Limited. https://doi.org/10.1108/S0278-1204(2010)0000027008

Bundy, J., Vogel, R. M., & Zachary, M. A. (2018). Organization–stakeholder fit: A dynamic theory of cooperation, compromise, and conflict between an organization and its stakeholders. *Strategic Management Journal*, *39*(2), 476-501. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.2736

Cannoodt, L., Mock, C., & Bucagu, M. (2012). Identifying barriers to emergency care services. *The International journal of health planning and management*, *27*(2), e104-e120. https://doi.org/10.1002/hpm.1098

Chen, L., Evans, T., Anand, S., Boufford, J. I., Brown, H., Chowdhury, M., ... & Wibulpolprasert, S. (2004). Human resources for health: overcoming the crisis. *The lancet*, *364*(9449), 1984-1990. 10.1016/S0140-6736(04)17482-5

DuHadway, S., Carnovale, S., & Hazen, B. (2019). Understanding risk management for intentional supply chain disruptions: Risk detection, risk mitigation, and risk recovery. *Annals of Operations Research*, *283*, 179-198. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10479-017-2452-0

Guardino, M. (2019). *Framing inequality: News media, public opinion, and the neoliberal turn in US public policy*. Oxford University Press.

Harrison, J. S., Bosse, D. A., & Phillips, R. A. (2010). Managing for stakeholders, stakeholder utility functions, and competitive advantage. *Strategic management journal*, *31*(1), 58-74. https://doi.org/10.1002/smj.801

Katz-Lavigne, S. (2020). Distributional impact of corporate extraction and (un) authorised clandestine mining at and around large-scale copper-and cobalt-mining sites in DR Congo. *Resources policy*, *65*, 101584. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.resourpol.2020.101584

Lihua, W. U., Tianshu, M. A., Yuanchao, B. I. A. N., Sijia, L. I., & Zhaoqiang, Y. I. (2020). Improvement of regional environmental quality: Government environmental governance and public participation. *Science of the Total Environment*, *717*, 137265. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.scitotenv.2020.137265

Salvatori, V., Balian, E., Blanco, J. C., Carbonell, X., Ciucci, P., Demeter, L., ... & Young, J. C. (2021). Are large carnivores the real issue? Solutions for improving conflict management through stakeholder participation. *Sustainability*, *13*(8), 4482. https://doi.org/10.3390/su13084482

Sheer, N. (2017). *Should goal-flexibility be the purpose of negotiation planning? A closer look at the relationship between planning and negotiation outcomes* (Doctoral dissertation, UNSW Sydney).

Smulders, F., Lousberg, L., & Dorst, K. (2008). Towards different communication in collaborative design. *International Journal of Managing Projects in Business*, *1*(3), 352-367. https://doi.org/10.1108/17538370810883819

Stefanova, B. (2006). Regional integration as a system of conflict resolution: The European experience. *World Affs.*, *169*, 81.

Sumarni, S., & Kalupae, A. K. (2020). Preserving the values of cultural negotiation through social learning:'Two Religion Community Life'case study in Phattalung, Southeast Thailand. *HTS Teologiese Studies/Theological Studies*, *76*(1). https://doi.org/10.4102/hts.v76i1.5947

Van Veelen, B. (2018). Negotiating energy democracy in practice: governance processes in community energy projects. *Environmental politics*, *27*(4), 644-665. https://doi.org/10.1080/09644016.2018.1427824

Walker, D. H., Davis, P. R., & Stevenson, A. (2017). Coping with uncertainty and ambiguity through team collaboration in infrastructure projects. *International Journal of Project Management*, *35*(2), 180-190. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijproman.2016.11.001

Waqas, M., Dong, Q. L., Ahmad, N., Zhu, Y., & Nadeem, M. (2018). Critical barriers to implementation of reverse logistics in the manufacturing industry: a case study of a developing country. *Sustainability*, *10*(11), 4202. https://doi.org/10.3390/su10114202

Weible, C. M. (2018). Introduction: the scope and focus of policy process research and theory. In *Theories of the policy process* (pp. 1-13). Routledge.